Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,093	BERTHOLD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phallaka Kik	2825
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Ex Parte Quyale</u>		
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)	
(2) Werner H. Stemer (Reg. No. 34,956).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 January 2006	Time: <u>3:22-3:28PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None	,	
Claims discussed: 1-2,5,8-9,14-16,19		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
In response to the Examiner's indication that claims 1-2,5,8-9,14 authorizes the Examiner to amend the claims as given in the atta		oplicant's Representative
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	e examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	ecord of the substance of the y by the examiner appears in F	interview, since the interview Part II above.
Whallab Mil		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)